

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 3700 of 1992

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE D.A.MEHTA

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned : NO
Magistrate/Magistrates, Judge/Judges, Tribunal/Tribunals?

CHANDUBHAI KARSHANBHAI VASAVA

Versus

ANTRIFRICTION BERINGS CORPORATIONS LTD.

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 3700 of 1992
NOTICE SERVED for Petitioner No. 1
MR KM PATEL for Respondent No. 1
DELETED for Respondent No. 2
-

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE D.A.MEHTA

Date of decision: 21/03/2003

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1 Mr.K.M.Patel, learned Advocate appearing on

behalf of respondent No.1 - Company places on record a copy of the consent agreement arrived at between the parties outside the Court and states that in light of the same the petition has become infructuous.

2 Though the petitioner has been duly served there is no appearance on behalf of the petitioner and in light of the aforesaid settlement arrived at between the parties, it is not necessary to proceed with the matter on merits. The petition accordingly stands disposed of as infructuous with a liberty to revive the same in case of difficulty. Rule discharged. There shall be no order as to costs.

(D.A.Mehta, J)

m.m.bhatt